

**MINUTES OF A REGULAR MEETING OF THE
REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY AND
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO HELD ON THE
1st DAY FEBRUARY OF 2011**

The Commissioners of the Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco met in a regular meeting at City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 416, in the City of San Francisco, California, at 4:00 p.m. on the 1st day of February 2011, at the place and date duly established for holding of such a meeting.

President Swig called the meeting to order at 4:00 p.m.

Mr. Swig welcomed members of the public and radio listening audience and asked that all electronic devices including pagers and cellular telephones be turned off during the meeting. Mr. Swig asked members of the public who wished to address the Commission to fill out speaker cards, and to state their names for the record, and to limit their remarks to three minutes. Mr. Swig stated that the appropriate time for members of the public to address the Commission on matters not on the current Agenda, but related to general Agency business, would be Item 6 on the agenda. This portion of the Agenda is not intended for debate or discussion with the Commission or staff, and members of the public should simply state their business or matter they wish the Commission or staff to be aware of, and if they had questions, to follow-up with staff or Commissioners during a break or after adjournment. It is not appropriate for Commissioners to engage in a debate or respond on issues not properly set in a publicly noticed meeting agenda.

1. RECOGNITION OF A QUORUM

The Commission Secretary announced the presence of a quorum with the following Commissioners present:

Rick Swig, President
Darshan Singh, Vice President
London Breed
Miguel M. Bustos
Francee Covington
Leroy King

The following Commissioner(s) were absent:

Agnes Briones-Ubalde

Fred Blackwell, Executive Director, and staff members were also present.

2. REPORT ON ACTIONS TAKEN AT PREVIOUS CLOSED SESSION MEETING, IF ANY. No Reportable Action.

3. MATTERS OF UNFINISHED BUSINESS. None.

4. MATTERS OF NEW BUSINESS:

CONSENT AGENDA:

- (a) Authorizing execution of a 9-year License Agreement with the City and County of San Francisco, a municipal corporation, acting by and through its Municipal Transportation Agency (“SFMTA”) for long-term access to repair, maintain, and remove a monitoring system, as part of SFMTA’s Central Subway extension project, on a portion of the Agency’s Yerba Buena Center Central Block Three property fronting Fourth Street. (Resolution No. 6-2011)

- (b) Authorizing a First Amendment to the Personal Services Contract with L. Luster & Associates, a California corporation, to increase the Contract amount by \$78,131, for a total aggregate amount not to exceed \$203,131, for consultant services to inform the Agency’s efforts to establish a construction workforce policy and authorizing a Memorandum of Understanding with the San Francisco International Airport to provide partial funding for the Contract; All Redevelopment Project Areas. (Resolution No. 7-2011)

ADOPTION: IT WAS MOVED BY COMMISSIONER SINGH, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COVINGTON, AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, THAT RESOLUTION NO. 6-2011, AUTHORIZING EXECUTION OF A 9-YEAR LICENSE AGREEMENT WITH THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION, ACTING BY AND THROUGH ITS MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY (“SFMTA”) FOR LONG-TERM ACCESS TO REPAIR, MAINTAIN, AND REMOVE A MONITORING SYSTEM, AS PART OF SFMTA’S CENTRAL SUBWAY EXTENSION PROJECT, ON A PORTION OF THE AGENCY’S YERBA BUENA CENTER CENTRAL BLOCK THREE PROPERTY FRONTING FOURTH STREET, AND RESOLUTION NO. 7-2011, AUTHORIZING A FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT WITH L. LUSTER & ASSOCIATES, A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION, TO INCREASE THE CONTRACT AMOUNT BY \$78,131, FOR A TOTAL AGGREGATE AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$203,131, FOR CONSULTANT SERVICES TO INFORM THE AGENCY’S EFFORTS TO ESTABLISH A CONSTRUCTION WORKFORCE POLICY AND AUTHORIZING A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING WITH THE SAN FRANCISCO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT TO PROVIDE PARTIAL FUNDING FOR THE CONTRACT; ALL REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREAS, BE ADOPTED.

REGULAR AGENDA

- (c) Authorizing the establishment of a panel of real estate economics consulting firms to provide advisory services on an as-needed basis for a three-year term in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$800,000, including \$500,000 for the Hunters Point Shipyard, subject to the availability of funds; All Redevelopment Project and Survey Areas. (Resolution No. 8-2011)

Presenter: Catherine Maher, Agency Staff

Speakers: Ace Washington

Vice President Singh put forth a motion to move item 4(c).

Commissioner Bustos seconded Mr. Singh's motion.

Commissioner Covington asked which firms are WBE's or MBE's, and what the gross receipt was for an SBE.

Mr. Villacarlos stated for Agency certification purposes, the gross receipt for an SBE professional services firm is \$2M. Mr. Villacarlos stated the certified firms are Seifel Consulting-WBE, Conley Consulting-WBE/MBE, also several subconsultants for the various selected primes are MBE and/or WBE, such as the following: Al Williams-MBE; Saylor Consulting-WBE; Barcelon & Jang-M/WBE.

ADOPTION: IT WAS MOVED BY COMMISSIONER SINGH, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER BUSTOS, AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, THAT RESOLUTION NO. 8-2011, AUTHORIZING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A PANEL OF REAL ESTATE ECONOMICS CONSULTING FIRMS TO PROVIDE ADVISORY SERVICES ON AN AS-NEEDED BASIS FOR A THREE-YEAR TERM IN AN AGGREGATE AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$800,000, INCLUDING \$500,000 FOR THE HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD, SUBJECT TO THE AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS; ALL REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AND SURVEY AREAS, BE ADOPTED.

- (d) Authorizing a Personal Services Contract with ADR Continental Group, a California Limited Liability corporation in association with, Katz & Associates, a Nevada corporation, for an amount not to exceed \$99,860, for a nine-month term to develop a strategic communications plan and an implementation plan for the Agency; Agency wide Project and Survey Areas. (Resolution No. 9-2011)

Presenter: Gaynell Armstrong-McCurn

Speakers: None

Vice President Singh put forth a motion to move item 4(d).

Commissioner Covington stated she had been lobbying for quite some time to have a public relations arm for the Agency, and expressed her disappointment that it has taken three years to issue an RFP. Ms. Covington expressed her appreciation to the communities at large for their goodwill and support of the Agency, in particular with the recent proposal by the Governor. She stated if the Agency's good works were not known; the Agency would be in a lot of trouble. Ms. Covington stated the Agency does an incredible amount of work and is one of

the prime economic engines for the City and County of San Francisco; the Agency should let people know what the Agency does. Ms. Covington asked what the next steps are.

Ms. McCurn stated the next step is to schedule a meeting with Director Blackwell to discuss how to proceed in light of the current proposal by the Governor.

Ms. Covington asked what projects the team would be responsible for over the nine-month period.

Ms. McCurn stated that the team would be working on the various project areas and programs such as the Job Readiness Initiative, and the Housing Readiness Initiative. In addition, Mr. Blackwell will have special project assignments.

Director Blackwell stated the Scope of Work was put together prior to the introduction of the Governor's proposal, and indicated one of the first steps would be to come up with strategies related to immediate communications such as press releases highlighting the Agency's good work in the area of jobs, housing, infrastructure and the like. Secondly, start getting the word out immediately to educate not only the State Legislators about what the Agency is currently working on, but also educating the public's knowledge about the Agency's work. Mr. Blackwell stated the Governor's proposal is going to be considered intensely over the next few months, and the immediate work is to respond to that in making sure the word gets out about the Agency's work.

Commissioner Covington stated she agreed with the re-ordering of priorities but also cautioned about the scope of activity over a very short period with limited funds. Ms. Covington asked that the team focus on key issues and to make sure they look back on the Agency's successes such as the Yerba Buena Center.

Commissioner Covington seconded Mr. Singh's motion.

Commissioner Anaya thanked Director Blackwell and staff for the tour of the redevelopment project areas and was amazed at the work the Agency is currently working on, and work that has been completed. She believes the approval of the Public Relations firm is important to the Agency since she does not believe the public is fully aware of projects completed by the Agency, and in particular, how much the Agency has done over the years. Ms. Anaya expressed her support for the item.

President Swig stated Ms. Covington was far too humble to say that she has been quietly working behind the scenes; she has been very much up front and a leader on this subject. He reiterate in Ms. Covington's comment that it is very important for the public to know what development is going on, not just the people in the neighborhoods directly affected by the efforts of the Redevelopment Agency, but also the city constituency at large. Mr. Swig stated it is very important to tell the story of Redevelopment since his current visits to Sacramento with Mr. Blackwell showed there was a lack of understanding of the impact of Redevelopment and all

of its activities, and how much Redevelopment contributes to the communities. Mr. Swig stated that the outreach is both important to the public and government constituencies, and further allows the Agency to be more transparent by telling the public exactly what the Agency does so misconceptions do not arise and people will be comfortable that funds are being spent for very constructive activities and projects.

ADOPTION: IT WAS MOVED BY COMMISSIONER SINGH, SECONDED BY COMMISSIONER COVINGTON, AND UNANIMOUSLY CARRIED, THAT (RESOLUTION NO. 9-2011, AUTHORIZING A PERSONAL SERVICES CONTRACT WITH ADR CONTINENTAL GROUP, A CALIFORNIA LIMITED LIABILITY CORPORATION IN ASSOCIATION WITH, KATZ & ASSOCIATES, A NEVADA CORPORATION, FOR AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED \$99,860, FOR A NINE-MONTH TERM TO DEVELOP A STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS PLAN AND AN IMPLEMENTATION PLAN FOR THE AGENCY; AGENCY WIDE PROJECT AND SURVEY AREAS, BE ADOPTED.

5. **MATTERS NOT APPEARING ON AGENDA:** None
6. **PERSONS WISHING TO ADDRESS THE MEMBERS ON NON-AGENDA, BUT AGENCY RELATED MATTERS.**

Tessie Ester, Leaotis Martin, Oscar James, Deborah Muise, Espanola Jackson

7. **REPORT OF THE PRESIDENT:**
 - President Swig reported the most important focus is the awareness with all the press that has been in the newspapers, radio, and talk shows about the demise of the Redevelopment Agency, which is still conjecture, and no legislation has yet been considered. Budget Committee meetings will be held and chaired by Senator Leno in Sacramento, and will possibly be the first time the issue will be considered and discussed. Mr. Swig indicated that Mr. Blackwell would go into more detail in his report.
 - Mr. Swig thanked Ms. Tessie Ester who spoke earlier, and expressed his appreciation for her patience. Mr. Swig expressed his concern about territorial issues, which he is well aware of, and is aware that the Agency is well intentioned in providing training necessary to enable the youth to get jobs. He asked Director Blackwell what he might suggest to find the right place to make it safe to hold training for the youth.
 - Director Blackwell stated he would follow up on the workforce development strategy with the Agency's partners, OEWD, and City Build.
 - President Swig asked if Mr. Oscar James would volunteer to provide Director Blackwell with any direction necessary, as he has done in the past, on this issue.
 - Mr. James agreed he would provide his guidance on the matter.

8. REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR:

Director Blackwell reported the next steps on the Budget are hearings in Sacramento on Feb. 3rd at 9:30 a.m. with the Senate, and Feb. 7th at 1:00 p.m. with the Assembly which, it is his understanding, may be the only hearings on the Redevelopment issue. Mr. Blackwell encouraged all who have an opinion one way or another to attend those hearings.

Director Blackwell reported 9 of the 10 Mayors from the ten largest Cities met with the Governor, and their message was two-fold; (1) they oppose the abolishment of Redevelopment; (2) they would like to be at the table to discuss alternatives to the Governor's proposal or other types of concessions. The Governor agreed to pull together a working group with staff from the ten largest cities. The first meeting was held the previous Friday; San Francisco was represented by Director Blackwell and Nancy Kirshner-Rodriguez, Director of Government Affairs from the Mayor's office. The focus of the first meeting was to get a grasp on three parts of the Governor's proposal before getting into discussions about alternatives.

The first was to get a better understanding on how the Governor's staff came up with the \$1.7B figure which has been proposed to be redirected to the State's General Fund in the first year of the Governor's proposal. The second item was to get more clarity on their thinking on what existing obligations and commitments would look like, and the last was what they were envisioning the roles, responsibilities, and authority would be of successor entities, which is what the Governor is calling for to be put into place if Agency's are abolished on July 1st. On the first point, the Governor's proposal suggested \$1.7B would be available to be redirected to the State's general fund. The number is derived from the State controllers report that was issued based on fiscal year '07/'08 records of existing financial commitments from Redevelopment Agencies statewide. It would take the amount of tax increment statewide and subtracts the obligations that were on the books in '07/'08 and once that is subtracted, that is how the \$1.7B is derived. Director Blackwell stated that number is different now than it was in '07/'08; many of the agencies across the State have experienced decreases in assess values since that point which was right before the financial collapse in the State, and there have been commitments that have been made since '07/'08. He stated that those present at the meeting felt that the \$1.7B amount is an inflated estimate of what would actually be available. Secondly, the Governor's staff was straightforward about what an existing obligation would be and what they would be using; the statutory definition of existing contracts. In addition to debt that has already been issued, contracts that are in place such as disposition and development agreements would be existing commitments, which covers quite a bit, of what the Agency is currently working on. Lastly, on the successor entities, it was explained that they are not visioned as much more than check writing entities that would be able to service existing debt. There was not much acknowledgement that administrative support would not be needed to carry out existing obligations moving forward, nor much thinking seemed to have done about what you would do with existing assets.

Director Blackwell indicated that in San Francisco as an example, there is in excess of 10,000 units of affordable housing that is asset managed, as well as review income eligibility, and there is very little recognition from the State that that type of activity they envision successor entities doing which not only calls into question how future affordable housing would be financed, but also calls in the question of how the affordable housing the Agency has been producing for many decades would be tended to.

Director Blackwell stated in terms of the next steps, a follow-up meeting is in the process of being scheduled with the staff of the Big Ten cities. At the State level, they have been educating the Assembly and Senators on the activities occurring about Redevelopment locally and what is at stake in San Francisco. Director Blackwell indicated that concurrently, the Board of Supervisors are considering a resolution being introduced by Supervisor Cohen opposing the elimination of Redevelopment as well as calling for the Governor to work with local jurisdictions on other solutions. Director Blackwell stated, as President Swig pointed out, specific language has not been introduced which was to have been introduced the day prior, but has not been forthcoming which calls in the question what will be discussed Feb. 3rd.

- Commissioner Covington asked Director Blackwell to elaborate in terms of the rush that many Redevelopment Agencies are now involved in and the reason why the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency is not.
 - ◆ Director Blackwell stated when the Governor proposed the new budget, there was a rumor running up and down the State that he would introduce emergency legislation so Agencies would not be able to make new commitments between now and July. After following up with the delegation in Sacramento, the City's lobbyist, as well as other people who were knowledgeable about what was going on in terms of the budget, it became clear it was just a rumor and clear for many representatives in the State that if such emergency legislation were actually introduced that many in Sacramento would view that as putting the cart before the horse since no one has made a decision yet on what they were going to do relative to the Governor's proposal for the elimination of Redevelopment. Director Blackwell stated there were a dozen agencies across the State that rushed to make commitments based on that rumor, and stated some of the documents put together in some of those jurisdictions, and stated he was skeptical as to whether those decisions that were made in those jurisdictions constitute the type of commitments that would stand up to the statutory definitions that he spoke about earlier. Secondly, the Agency has been clear when asked by the media and others if the Agency has projects that have done due diligence and whether they are close to putting together a Disposition and Development Agreement (DDA) or an Owner Participation Agreement (OPA). Staff intends to bring those to the Commission in an expeditious manner, but there is no intent to bring

to the Commission hastily put together resolutions, deals or bad deals based on the rumors that are running up and down the State. He believes the Agency has a strong obligation to make sure the Agency is doing due diligence with taxpayer money and does not believe it is in the Agency's interest to rush prematurely.

- ◆ Director Blackwell stated that he has asked staff to do an inventory of all projects that are in the pipeline and putting them into three categories; (1) those they know will be safe under the statutory definition, (2) those they know sit outside of the definition; and (3) those that are in the middle. The inventory will be used in order to determine what will be brought before the Commission.

- Commissioner Covington asked if there was any discussion in the meetings attended by President Swig and Director Blackwell about the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency being both a City and a County, and how that might insulate the Agency.
 - ◆ Director Blackwell stated the fact that the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency is a City and County does not insulate the Agency from the Governor's proposal but makes the Agency unique as to how the Agency may respond. The San Francisco Redevelopment Agency is the only agency that does not draw down all the tax increment that is available in any given year. Every other agency in the State looks to see how much tax increment has been generated in their project areas and they draw down all of their tax increment which means that all of that money is being redirected to Redevelopment Agencies away from counties, and this Agency is a situation where the Agency negotiates with the County and as a result leaving substantial sums in the County's general fund, which means if one of the reform ideas is there must be some type of dialogue between counties and local jurisdictions, the Agency is already there. Director Blackwell indicated another thing that makes this Agency unique is that the tax increment the Agency utilizes is a very small portion of the overall property tax revenue that is generated in the City and County of San Francisco. The Agency is only responsible for 3.6% of all property tax generated in the county; there are places where that number is as high as 80%. In addition to the fact that Director Blackwell believes the Agency is programmatically one of the best in the State, the Agency also has a unique financial picture which, in a discussion about reform, puts the Agency in a different place.

- Vice President Singh asked for information about the Public Relations firm CRA has hired to fight the Governor's budget proposal.
 - ◆ Director Blackwell stated the Public Relations firm would provide strategic advice to CRA. At the last Board meeting, they discussed

what the mood was in Sacramento and it appears Republicans in the Legislature are opposing increased revenue proposed by the Governor and in relationship to the cuts, and should it fail, it would increase the number of cuts that would have to be proposed by the Governor. The proposal, with a \$25B deficit, half is new revenues to get about \$12.5B, and the other half of it is \$12.5B in cuts; any type of reduction in revenue would mean more cuts. The Democrats in Sacramento are split in their support for the Governor's budget. As President Swig mentioned, there is a lot of work that is still out in front of the Governor and everyone in Sacramento. The message given to Director Blackwell from the Mayor is while San Francisco opposes the abolishment of Redevelopment, there is a responsibility to work with the State to work on some viable solutions.

- Commissioner Singh asked what other Redevelopment Agencies of the ten largest cities have \$8B-10B in the pipeline.
 - ◆ Director Blackwell stated there are other agencies such as Los Angeles, which is the largest Redevelopment Agency in the State and have more units of affordable housing than San Francisco, that they are trying to manage and they, along with San Jose, Oakland, Fresno, and Sacramento have a variety of projects that they either have recently approved or are on the brink of approving which are critical to their local economies. Director Blackwell stated everyone is deeply concerned about what is being proposed and are poised to fight the elimination of Redevelopment but poised to work with Sacramento on solutions, which is the next step.

9. COMMISSIONERS' QUESTIONS AND MATTERS:

Commissioner Anaya asked how the Agency is monitoring and enforcing local hiring policies, how the small business contractors are being considered and encouraged to work with the Agency, and how the Agency is providing appropriate training programs for the underserved community. Ms. Anaya stated she was very concerned about the comments heard from the speakers earlier, and would like to know the steps the Agency takes to make sure the efforts are carried out.

- ◆ Mr. Stephen Maduli-Williams stated staff has been examining the existing workforce enforcement environment in the project areas. The first area they examined was related to the CBO's who provide some of the training mentioned earlier. They found that due to the lack capacity and funding, many CBO's are not up to the task and have recently been going through a wave of non-performance, which was recently illustrated with a lot of protesting in the jobsites, in particular in the Bayview Hunters area where residents were not getting local jobs. Staff worked on creating a platform to fix problems such as meeting with community groups and individuals seeking employment, and committing Agency dollars in reinvesting in the CBO's groups. Staff worked on putting the CBO's on the path to provide services needed in terms of

barrier removal, which was the biggest challenge, which resulted in the creation of the Jobs Recognition Initiative (JRI). Mr. Maduli-Williams stated the Agency would spend money to help people remove barriers, and MOEWD would provide the more advanced training. He believes in this strategy in moving forward in terms of how the Agency invests in its project areas, and in terms of workforce development. In terms of monitoring the activities, two years ago a contract was approved to work with Elations on a software project, which tracks certified payrolls to see who is being employed. Ultimately, to have better data as an Agency to see who is working on the Agency's projects, are goals being met, and how effective is it, they found that there is a two tier approach the Agency has to take to implement a successful local hire policy; (1) be able to have staff that can call developers and contractors if there's a problem, more importantly, they felt it was good to have real time data to show what exactly a contractor is doing at any given moment at the site since historically what they found is when it was done manually by paper, they would find out two to three months later that there's a problem, and by that time the job opportunity was gone or the job was finished. What Elations has allowed them to do was is to have up to date data as to what is happening from a local hiring standpoint. Contractors are partial to the Elations program since it has lowered their costs. This program gives the Agency the ability to have a database of people who have worked on jobs, what jobs they have worked on, and what trade they have worked on. Ideally, what the Agency would like to be in the future is an in-house resume system for people who have worked on Agency jobs so they can go to another contractor and have data on what job they've worked on, the number of hours they've worked, the types of trades they had experience working on, and how much they have gotten paid. Mr. Maduli-Williams stated the 2010 performance in terms of local hire, are roughly 34% across all the project area. The new requirement is 20%, which the Agency is already above that. The reason why the Agency has reached 34% is having the data to show the contractors that they are not meeting the goals, and also having staff in place to go out and talk to the contractors and developers about what the numbers are and staying on them.

- ◆ Director Blackwell stated how the Commission can monitor the issue is (1) there is a compliance team at the Agency whose jobs it is to make sure that developers, contractors, and sub-contractors are complying with the Agency policy. When an RFP is let out or work is beginning, there is a meeting with the developers and contractors about what the Agency policy is and to follow up on making sure they comply with Agency policy all the way through; (2) there are two working groups that are off-shoots of the Commission; one is a contracting working group that Commissioner King and Commissioner Singh are members of, community groups such as the Lawyers Committee, Contractors the Agency works with, and others. The group reviews data and if there are policy recommendations that need to come to the Commission, they go to that working group before they come to the Commission. The second Working Group is a Workforce Development Working Group that Commissioner Covington is a member of, along with representatives from the

Building Trades, contractors, advocacy groups on workforce development issues. As with the Working Group, data is shared, ideas on improvements are vetted, and recommendations are discussed before going to the Commission. Director Blackwell suggested Commissioner Anaya join the Working Group given her background from MLVS. Lastly, where the Commission has an opportunity to monitor results in Workforce Development, when a memorandum is brought before the Commission that pertains to new project funding and such, a paragraph is included on workforce development outcomes and results. When items are now brought before the Commission for recommendations, workforce development results are included in the memorandum to give the Commission an opportunity to bring up concerns about specific projects.

Commissioner Anaya indicated the information Mr. Maduli-Williams and Director Blackwell provided her with gave her a better understanding of how workforce is monitored and indicated that she would like to participate in the Working Group. She expressed a tremendous concern when talking about workforce development since they are talking about individuals from underserved communities, education and levels that are very low, yet are expected to be ready in 4-6 months to work, where it is taken six years to go to college to be able to work in their fields. Ms. Anaya stated there seems to be a bit of unfairness that is not well understood and there needs to be more discussion about workforce development, and that it cannot be done in 3-5 months. Ms. Anaya believes there should be more communication on the matter.

Commissioner Bustos stated he was glad to hear that Commissioner Anaya will be joining Commissioner Covington on the Working Group who will bring a lot of value to the group.

Commissioner Bustos stated he attended an event where he had a conversation with representatives from MoAD and they expressed their concern about how MoAD has been working with the Agency. Commissioner Bustos requested a report to the Commission within the next thirty days on the funds that are still in reserve for MoAD. Commissioner Bustos stated he was provided with documents by MoAD and would like to share them with the Commission.

- ◆ Director Blackwell stated he was already anticipating in bringing a recommendation to the Commission on MoAD within the next thirty days.

Commissioner Covington stated there seems that there is something that requires some particular notice and attention which is the assertion that \$2M was to be going to MoAD for operational and other costs, but only one half million was expended. She stated the immediate question is to have some background in what happened to the other \$1.5M and would like that information included in the report.

President Swig stated it would be helpful to include information on how the MoAD building was structured, and what funding was offered in the report for the new Commissioners.

10. **CLOSED SESSION:** None.

11. **ADJOURNMENT:**

It was moved by Commissioner Singh, seconded by Commissioner Covington, and unanimously carried that the meeting be adjourned at 5:35 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Gina E. Solis
Agency Secretary

ADOPTED: